arXiv:1610.01017v1 [nucl-th] 3 Oct 2016

Empirical parametrizations of the resonance amplitudes based on the Siegert's theorem

G. Ramalho

International Institute of Physics, Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte, Campus Lagoa Nova - Anel Viário da UFRN, Lagoa Nova, Natal-RN, 59070-405, Brazil

E-mail: gilberto.ramalho@iip.ufrn.br

(Received ....)

We present parametrizations of the $\gamma^*N \to N(1535)1/2^-$, $\gamma^*N \to N(1520)3/2^-$ and $\gamma^*N \to \Delta(1232)3/2^+$ transition amplitudes that are compatible with the analytic constraints at the pseudothreshold (Siegert's theorem). The presented parametrizations also provide a fair description of the experimental data. For the case of the $\gamma^*N \to \Delta(1232)3/2^+$ transition, we discuss how the pion cloud parametrizations of the electric and the Coulomb quadrupole form factors can be adjusted according to the Siegert's theorem.

KEYWORDS: nucleon resonances, transition amplitudes, Siegert's theorem

1. Introduction

The electroexcitation of the nucleon resonances ($\gamma^*N \to N^*$) is experimentally restricted to the spacelike region when the photon momentum transfer q^2 is negative ($Q^2 = -q^2 > 0$) [1]. The timelike region is delimited by the interval $-(M_R - M)^2 < Q^2 < 0$, where M and M_R are respectively the nucleon and the resonance masses. Although the pseudothreshold is close to the photon point $Q^2 = 0$ for light resonances, the timelike region cannot be directly accessed. The timelike region however imposes constraints on the analytic form of the transition amplitudes and form factors in the limit where the photon three-momentum $\mathbf{q}$ vanishes and $Q^2 = -(M_R - M)^2$ (pseudothreshold limit). When the transition current is defined using the general on-mass-shell gauge invariant structure one can define *elementary* form factors, such as the case of the Dirac and Pauli form factors for transitions to spin 1/2 resonances. The requirement that those form factors are free of kinematic singularities at the pseudothreshold implies that two or more form factors are correlated at the pseudothreshold [2, 3]. An implication of those constraints is the relation between the electric (E) and scalar (S) amplitudes expressed by $E \propto S/|\mathbf{q}|$, when $|\mathbf{q}| \to 0$, which is known as the Siegert's theorem [4–6]. In this work we discuss in particular the Siegert's theorem for the $\gamma^*N \to N(1535)1/2^-$, $\gamma^*N \to N(1520)3/2^-$ and $\gamma^*N \to \Delta(1232)3/2^+$ transitions [7–9].

2. $\gamma^*N \to N(1535)1/2^-$

In the case of the $\gamma^*N \to N(1535)1/2^-$ transition one can concludes that the longitudinal amplitude ($A_{1/2}$) and the scalar amplitude ($S_{1/2}$) can be expressed at pseudothreshold limit as [3, 7]

$$A_{1/2} = 2b\tilde{F}_1, \qquad S_{1/2} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{M_R - M}\tilde{F}_1|\mathbf{q}|, \tag{1}$$

where $b = e\sqrt{\frac{Q^2+(M_R-M)^2}{8M(M_R^2-M^2)}}$ (e is the elementary charge) and $\tilde{F}_1 = F_1 + \eta F_2$ is a combination of the Dirac and Pauli form factors with $\eta = \frac{M_R-M}{M_R+M}$ [7]. In addition one concludes that at the pseudothresh-

old, $\tilde{F}_1$ is finite and the functions F_1, F_2 are free from kinematic singularities. The direct consequence of Eq. (1) is $A_{1/2} = \lambda S_{1/2}/|\mathbf{q}|$ with $\lambda = \sqrt{2}(M_R - M)$, which is equivalent to the Siegert's theorem, since $E \propto A_{1/2}$. The result $S_{1/2} \propto |\mathbf{q}|$ in particular can be interpreted as a consequence of the orthogonality between the nucleon and the $N(1535)1/2^-$ states [7].

A parametrization of the amplitude $S_{1/2}$ compatible with the Siegert's theorem is presented in Fig. 1 (left panel) with the label MAID-SG [7]. This parametrization differs from the MAID2007 parametrization [5] which fails to describe the Siegert's theorem. The constraint from the Siegert's theorem is responsible for the inflection of the function $S_{1/2}$ near the pseudothreshold in the MAID-SG parametrization. In the right panel we present the results for the kinematic-free form factors F_1 and F_2. More details can be found in Ref. [7].



Fig. 1. $\gamma^* N \to N(1535)1/2^-$ transition. At the left: Amplitude $S_{1/2}$, comparison between a parametrization compatible with the Siegert's theorem (MAID-SG) and MAID2007. At the right: Representation of the Dirac (F_1) and Pauli (F_2) form factors (MAID-SG). Data from Ref. [10].



Fig. 2. $\gamma^* N \to N(1520)3/2^-$ transition. At the left: Comparison between transverse amplitudes $A_{1/2}$ and $A_{3/2}$ ($\nu = \sqrt{3}$). At the right: Comparison between the form factors G_E and κG_C, with $\kappa = \frac{M_R - M}{2M_R}$. Data from Ref. [10].

3. $\gamma^* N \to N(1520)3/2^-$

In the case of the $\gamma^* N \to N(1520)3/2^-$ transition the analytic structure (singularity-free form factors) requires the following dependence of the amplitudes near the pseudothreshold

$$M_{2-} = O(|\mathbf{q}|^2), \qquad E_{2-} = O(1), \qquad S_{1/2} = O(|\mathbf{q}|), \tag{2}$$

where M_{2-}, E_{2-} and $S_{1/2}$ are the magnetic, electric and scalar amplitudes [3, 4]. When expressed in terms of the transverse amplitudes, $A_{1/2}$ and $A_{3/2}$, one can conclude that the first condition from Eq. (2) imply that $A_{1/2} = A_{3/2}/\sqrt{3}$. In addition, at the pseudothreshold the last two amplitudes are

related by $\frac{1}{2}E_{2-} = \lambda S_{1/2}$ [3, 8]. Using the parametrizations for $A_{1/2}, A_{3/2}$ and $S_{1/2}$ one can calculate the transition form factors G_M, G_E and G_C. At the pseudothreshold $G_E = \frac{M_R - M}{M_R} G_C$. Parametrizations of the data compatible with the previous two conditions are presented in Fig. 2. In the figure we can see that both conditions are satisfied in the lower limit of Q^2. More details can be found in Ref. [8].

4. $\gamma^* N \to \Delta(1232)3/2^+$

The $\gamma^* N \to \Delta(1232)3/2^+$ transition can be characterized by the amplitudes $A_{1/2}, A_{3/2}$ and $S_{1/2}$, or alternatively by the magnetic (G_M) electric (G_E) and Coulomb (G_C) form factors. The pseudothreshold limit implies that

$$\frac{E_{1+}}{|\mathbf{q}|} = \lambda \frac{S_{1/2}}{|\mathbf{q}|^2}, \qquad \lambda = \sqrt{2}(M_R - M), \tag{3}$$

which is equivalent to the relation between electric and Coulomb quadrupole form factors: $G_E = \frac{M_R - M}{2M_R} G_C$ [11]. A parametrization of the data (MAID-SG2) [8] compatible with the previous condition is presented in the left panel of Fig. 3. The present form of the Siegert's theorem, Eq. (3) differs from the more common form $E_{1+} = \lambda S_{1/2}/|\mathbf{q}|$ [5, 6] by a factor of $1/|\mathbf{q}|$. This factor is however necessary in order to obtain the correct relation between form factors at the pseudothreshold [8].

In the central and right panel of Fig. 3 we present the ratios R_{EM}, R_{SM} associated with the form factor data for G_E and G_C corresponding to the MAID-SG2 parametrization, for larger values of Q^2. The MAID-SG2 parametrization is based on rational functions of Q^2, inducing the falloffs $G_E \propto 1/Q^4$, $G_C \propto 1/Q^6$ for very large Q^2, as expected from pQCD [8].



Fig. 3. $\gamma^* N \to \Delta(1232)3/2^+$ transition. At the left: Functions G_E and κG_C with $\kappa = \frac{M_R - M}{2M_R}$. At the center: Results for $R_{EM} \equiv -\frac{G_E}{G_M}$. At the right: Results for $R_{SM} \equiv -\frac{|\mathbf{q}|}{2M_R}\frac{G_E}{G_M}$. Data from Ref. [10].

5. $\gamma^* N \to \Delta(1232)3/2^+$ – G_E and G_C parametrizations

The Siegert's theorem has been discussed in the literature in the context of constituent quark models [8, 12]. The main conclusion is that a consistent description of the transition current requires the inclusion of processes beyond the impulse approximation at the quark level. This means that two-body exchange currents that include quark-antiquark states are important for the description of the form factors near the pseudothreshold and at low Q^2 [12]. Those contributions are also refereed to as meson cloud contributions.

When we consider the one-body level (impulse approximation) the Siegert's theorem is trivially satisfied since the baryon wave functions based on valence quark contributions lead to vanishing form factors at the pseudothreshold as a consequence of the orthogonality between states. For the $\gamma^* N \to \Delta(1232)3/2^+$ this was shown explicitly in Ref. [9] based on a covariant quark model [13–15].

The problem becomes more complex when we consider contributions associated with the meson cloud (beyond impulse approximation). Considering the $\gamma^* N \to \Delta(1232)3/2^+$ transition, there are

parametrizations of the pion cloud contributions for the quadrupole form factors G_E and G_C derived in the large-N_c limit that relate those form factors with the electric form factor of the neutron (G_{En}) [16, 17]

$$G_E^\pi(Q^2) = \left(\frac{M}{M_\Delta}\right)^{3/2} \frac{M_\Delta^2 - M^2}{2\sqrt{2}} \tilde{G}_{En}(Q^2), \qquad G_C^\pi(Q^2) = \left(\frac{M}{M_\Delta}\right)^{1/2} \sqrt{2} M M_\Delta \tilde{G}_{En}(Q^2), \tag{4}$$

where M_Δ is the mass of the Δ and $\tilde{G}_{En} = G_{En}(Q^2)/Q^2$. One can however show that Eqs. (4) are not compatible with the Siegert's theorem. Using $G_{En} \simeq -\frac{1}{6} r_n^2 Q^2$, for small values of Q^2, one concludes that $G_E^\pi - \kappa G_C^\pi = O(1/N_c^2)$ at the pseudothreshold. A better approximation can be obtained by modifying G_E^π with a factor $1/(1 + Q^2/(M_\Delta^2 - M^2))$, which corresponds to a relative correction of $1/N_c^2$ at the pseudothreshold. The value of G_E^π at $Q^2 = 0$ is however unchanged. Using the new form for G_E^π, one has $G_E^\pi - \kappa G_C^\pi = O(1/N_c^4)$ at the pseudothreshold, which corresponds to a significant improvement comparative to the parametrizations from Eqs. (4) [9].

Combining the new parametrizations for G_E^π and G_C^π with a contributions from the valence quark core estimated by the covariant spectator quark model extrapolated from lattice QCD data [15, 18], one obtains an estimate of the form factors G_E and G_C compatible with the Siegert's theorem up to $1/N_c^4$ corrections. The results are presented in Fig. 4. The results are in excellent agreement with the data.



Fig. 4. Form factors G_E and G_C obtained using the improved large-N_c parametrization for the pion cloud contributions (see description in the text) combined with an estimate from the valence quark contributions [9, 15]. The bands indicate relative variation of $1/N_c^2$. Data from Ref. [10].

6. Summary and conclusions

We discussed the constraints associated with the Siegert's theorem for the $\gamma^* N \to N(1535)1/2^-$, $\gamma^* N \to N(1520)3/2^-$ and $\gamma^* N \to \Delta(1232)3/2^+$ transition amplitudes. In all cases we obtain a relation between the electric and scalar amplitudes given by $E \propto S/|\mathbf{q}|$ when $|\mathbf{q}| \to 0$. For the $\gamma^* N \to \Delta(1232)3/2^+$ transition, the previous relation has to be corrected by a factor of $1/|\mathbf{q}|$, since the exact condition is $G_E = \kappa G_C$, and $G_E \propto E/|\mathbf{q}|$, $G_C \propto S/|\mathbf{q}|^2$. For the $\gamma^* N \to N(1520)3/2^-$ transition there is an extra relation between the transverse amplitudes at the pseudothreshold.

For all the transitions we propose parametrizations compatible with the data and with the Siegert's theorem. For the case of the $\gamma^* N \to \Delta(1232)3/2^+$ we discuss the constraints of the Siegert's theorem to the parametrizations of the pion cloud contributions for the quadrupole form factors. Moreover we propose a parametrization for G_E^π which improves the agreement with the Siegert's theorem and gives a good description of the empirical data.

Acknowledgments: This work is supported by the Brazilian Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation and by the project "Science without Borders" from the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) 400826/2014-3.

References

[1] I. G. Aznauryan *et al.*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E **22**, 1330015 (2013) [arXiv:1212.4891 [nucl-th]].
[2] J. D. Bjorken and J. D. Walecka, Annals Phys. **38**, 35 (1966).
[3] R. C. E. Devenish, T. S. Eisenschitz and J. G. Korner, Phys. Rev. D **14**, 3063 (1976).
[4] D. Drechsel and L. Tiator, J. Phys. G **18**, 449 (1992).
[5] D. Drechsel, S. S. Kamalov and L. Tiator, Eur. Phys. J. A **34**, 69 (2007) [arXiv:0710.0306 [nucl-th]].
[6] L. Tiator, proceedings of the workshop Nucleon Resonances: From Photoproduction to High Photon Virtualities Trento, October, 2015.
[7] G. Ramalho, Phys. Lett. B **759**, 126 (2016) [arXiv:1602.03444 [hep-ph]].
[8] G. Ramalho, Phys. Rev. D **93**, 113012 (2016) [arXiv:1602.03832 [hep-ph]].
[9] G. Ramalho, arXiv:1606.03042 [hep-ph].
[10] V. I. Mokeev, `https://userweb.jlab.org/~mokeev/resonance_electrocouplings/`
[11] H. F. Jones and M. D. Scadron, Annals Phys. **81**, 1 (1973).
[12] A. J. Buchmann, E. Hernandez, U. Meyer and A. Faessler, Phys. Rev. C **58**, 2478 (1998).
[13] F. Gross, G. Ramalho and M. T. Peña, Phys. Rev. C **77**, 015202 (2008) [nucl-th/0606029].
[14] G. Ramalho, M. T. Peña and F. Gross, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 114017 (2008) [arXiv:0810.4126 [hep-ph]].
[15] G. Ramalho and M. T. Peña, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 013008 (2009) [arXiv:0901.4310 [hep-ph]].
[16] P. Grabmayr and A. J. Buchmann, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 2237 (2001) [hep-ph/0104203]; A. J. Buchmann, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 212301 (2004) [hep-ph/0412421].
[17] V. Pascalutsa and M. Vanderhaeghen, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 111501 (2007) [arXiv:0711.0147 [hep-ph]].
[18] C. Alexandrou, G. Koutsou, H. Neff, J. W. Negele, W. Schroers and A. Tsapalis, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 085012 (2008) [arXiv:0710.4621 [hep-lat]].